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I. Introduction

1. General Framework and need for intervention
   - Increased overall PAS use; decrease in problematic use (DOT, 2002).
   - Intervention is yet to adjust to this changing scenario.
   - Personal crisis can develop and be enhanced by PAS effects because of number of factors in recreational settings (Pina, 2008, 2009).
   - Potential risk between PAS use and mental health problems addressable by crisis intervention (Dac, 2008).
   - Favorable legal context of Portuguese decriminalization law.
   - No evaluation of crisis intervention project Kosmicare at Boom Festival up to the present.

2. Program Goals
   - Reduce harm associated with use of PAS.
   - Share information (PAS, potential effects, benefits and risks).
   - Implement health promotion intervention; diminish risk of mental illness associated w/ the use of PAS, through crisis intervention.
   - Transform unpleasant psychedelic (crisis) experience in constructive experience offering a safe and protective environment where processing and integration can unfold.

3. Research Goals
   - Describe Kosmicare intervention process; contribute to evidence-based intervention in crisis related to PAS in recreational settings.
   - Monitor Kosmicare implementation at Boom Festival.
   - Evaluate intervention (process and outcome; quantitative and qualitative). Specifically - Project Implementation; Team Satisfaction; Targets Satisfaction.

II. Program Structure

Table 2. Nr PAS reported per Visitor

Table 1. Reported PAS use by Visitors

Table 3. PAS reporting per Visitor

Table 4. Project implementation satisfaction survey

Table 5. Team perception of intervention efficacy

2. Data Collection & Instruments

- Mixed Methods Approach
- SWOT Analysis
- Open and closed items
- Semi-inductive Content Analysis/Thematic
- Qualitative Analysis Research Software Nvivo8

IV. Results

Where expected target groups covered by intervention?

Table 7. Nr of visitors per intervention shift

Table 8. Nr of visitors per intervention day

How was team satisfaction with the project? (themes)

S  W  O  T

Satisfaction w/ working conditions
KOSMICARE Dome
Food Location Safety
Improving Structure
Safety problems Demoralization Fatigue/Exhaustion

Satisfaction w/ project implementation
Efficiency/Space (KC Dome)
Location Pessor
Expansion Climate in the Team

Satisfaction w/ project team
Commitment Diversity Competence Cooperation Motivation
Coordination Poor human resources management
Promote Cohesion

Satisfaction w/ Festival Organizers
Festival Production
Safety Location Support to KC
Change Situation

Safety problems Depression.