Factors mediating the effectiveness of a school-based intervention to prevent substance use in adolescence ("Unplugged")

Federica Vigna-Taglianti, Fabrizia Giannotta

Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Torino Piedmont Centre for Drug Addiction Epidemiology

> European Society of Prevention Research Conference Paris, 13-15 November 2013

Unplugged

- Universal school-based program for preventing tobacco, substance use and alcohol abuse among adolescents
- Based on **social influence** approach
- It includes the following components
 - Social skills
 - Personal skills
 - Knowledge
 - Normative education



- It is administered by **teachers** trained in a 3-days course
- It is made by **12 units**, 1 hour each
- It is designed for **12-14 years old** students
- It was tested through a **randomized controlled trial** in 7 European countries in 2004-2007 school years

The EU-Dap trial

- 170 schools were randomly assigned either to one of three experimental arms (Unplugged alone, complemented by parents seminars or peer sessions) or to a control group receiving the usual health education curriculum
- 7079 students of 143 schools participated in the *baseline survey* (November 2004)
- The program ("Unplugged") was administered between November 2004 and February 2005 in the intervention arms
- 6604 (93%) students participated in the *first* follow-up survey (May 2005), 3 months (at least) after the end of the program
- 5812 (82%) students participated in the second follow-up survey (May 2006), 15 months (at least) after the end of the program








Unplugged effectiveness on use outcomes


Cluster RCT, 7 EU countries participating Unplugged vs control group (usual curriculum) Outcomes at 3 and 15 months after the end of the program Prevalence Odds Ratios estimated through multilevel adjusted models

BAS vs FUP1	Controls n/N	Interventions n/N	Adjusted P 3 months	OR (95%CI) 15 months
ALO smoking	605/2968	496/2979	0.88 (0.71-1.08)	0.94 (0,80-1,11)
Regular smoking	387/2968	297/2979	0.86 (0.67-1.10)	0.89 (0,72-1,09)
Daily smoking	277/2968	193/2979	0.70 (0.52-0.94)	0.92 (0,73-1,16)
ALO drunkenness	353/3054	253/3083	0.72 (0.58-0.90)	0.80 (0,67-0,97)
Regular drunkenness	120/3054	76/3083	0.69 (0.48-0.99)	0.62 (0,47-0,81)
ALO cannabis	225/3130	152/3150	0.77 (0.60-1.00)	0.83 (0,65-1,05)
Regular cannabis	137/3130	88/3150	0.76 (0.53-1.09)	0.74 (0,53-1,01)
ALO drugs	293/3156	222/3185	0.89 (0.69-1.15)	0.85 (0,69-1,05)

Study of mechanisms of effect




The theoretical model of Unplugged



Analysis of mechanisms of effect

- Starting from the theoretical model of the program, we decided to perform mediation analysis to investigate mechanisms of effect of the program at short term
- A Multilevel path analysis in MPlus 6 (Muthén, 1998-2010) was performed.
- As the randomization occurred at school level, school was entered as second level of the model, while individual was entered as first level.
- To control for variability across centers, the stratification option in Mplus was used.
- The total indirect effects of the intervention and the single indirect effect were computed for each mediator, using the IND command on Mplus.

The conceptual framework



Effectiveness on mediators (Path a)

Mediator	Path	Path a	
	β (S.E.)	p value	
Youth cigarettes use in the past 30 days	5		
Positive attitudes towards drugs	041 (.020)	.038	
Negative attitudes towards drugs	-	n.s.	
Positive beliefs tobacco	044 (.021)	.034	
Negative beliefs tobacco	029 (.017)	.086	
Knowledge about tobacco	.049 (.021)	.022	
Refusal skills tobacco	030 (.015)	.040	
Perception of number of smokers friends	051 (.020)	.010	
Perception of positive class climate	047 (.021)	.022	
Youth's ever being drunk			
Positive attitudes towards drugs	040 (.019)	.036	
Negative attitudes towards drugs	-	n.s.	
Positive beliefs alcohol	038 (.018)	.040	
Negative beliefs alcohol	-	n.s.	
Knowledge about alcohol	.153 (.017)	.000	
Refusal skills alcohol	032 (.018)	.072	
Perception of number of drunk friends	-	n.s.	
Perception of positive class climate	047 (.021)	.022	
Youth's ever use of can nabis			
Positive attitudes towards drugs	041 (.021)	.044	
Negative attitudes towards drugs	-	n.s.	
Positive beliefs cannabis	050 (.019)	.006	
Negative beliefs cannabis	-	n.s.	
Knowledge about cannabis	.137 (.022)	.000	
Refusal skills cannabis	033 (.019)	.074	
Perception of number of users friends	042 (.020)	.034	
Perception of positive class climate	048 (.021)	.022	

Mediators of effect (Path a*b) – whole sample

Reduction of <u>positive attitudes towards drugs</u>, improvement of <u>refusal</u> <u>skills</u> and reduction of <u>perception of prevalence of users friends</u> are mediators of program effects

	Mediators (Path a*b)	Tobacco p value	Drunkenness p value	Cannabis p value
<	Positive attitudes towards drugs	.070	.046	.060
	Negative attitudes towards drugs	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
	Positive beliefs	n.s.	.096	n.s.
	Negative beliefs	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
	Knowledge	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
	Refusal skills	.040	.078	.078
	Perception of number of users friends	.016	n.s.	.048
	School climate	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

Standardized effects (β and standard errors) of path a, path b, and path a*b of multilevel multiple mediation models on use (controlling for age, gender, and baseline levels of mediators and outcome), short term follow-up.

Mediators of effect (Path a*b) – users

The same factors seem to be mediators of program effects among users, less convincing for cannabis

	Mediators (Path a*b)	Tobacco p value	Drunkenness p value	Cannabis p value
\langle	Positive attitudes towards drugs	0.044	0.008	n.s.
	Negative attitudes towards drugs	n.s.	n.s.	0.096
	Positive beliefs	n.s.	0.038	n.s.
	Negative beliefs	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
	Knowledge	n.s.	n.s.	0.090
\langle	Refusal skills	0.066	0.094	n.s.
<	Perception of number of users friends	0.044	0.076	n.s.
	School climate	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

Standardized effects (β and standard errors) of path a, path b, and path a*b of multilevel multiple mediation models on use (controlling for age, gender, and baseline levels of mediators and outcome), short term follow-up.

Mediators of effect (Path a*b) – abstainers

Among abstainers, only <u>perception of number of users friends</u> appear to be a mediator

	Mediators (Path a*b)	Tobacco p value	Drunkenness p value	Cannabis p value
	Positive attitudes towards drugs	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
	Negative attitudes towards drugs	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
	Positive beliefs	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
	Negative beliefs	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
	Knowledge	0.070	n.s.	n.s.
	Refusal skills	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
<	Perception of number of users friends	0.058	n.s.	0.084
	School climate	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

Standardized effects (β and standard errors) of path a, path b, and path a*b of multilevel multiple mediation models on use (controlling for age, gender, and baseline levels of mediators and outcome), short term follow-up.

Conclusions

- the intervention significantly affected many of the expected mediating mechanisms, namely, expectations, attitudes, normative prevalence of substance use, refusal skills, school climate, and knowledge
- However, only few of them resulted to be real mediators of the intervention effects
- Specifically, an increase in refusal skills, a decrease in positive attitudes toward drugs and a decrease of perception of users friends appear to consistent mediators for the 3 classes of substances
- Limitations can have affected the identification of mediators: short term effect, concurrent measure of mediator and outcomes, small effects, moderators (7 countries)
 - □ It is needed to replicate the analysis using 3 waves

Implications

- Three main classes of mediators were identified:
 - □ Generic attitudes towards drugs
 - □ Refusal skills
 - □ Normative perceptions
- When building new interventions, these should be the targeted mediators of the intervention
- Targeting other possible mediators (knowledge, risk perception) may not contribute to the effect of the programs
- There is a weak indication that increasing knowledge can increase the risk of cannabis use:
 - The role of knowledge in prevention intervention should be more carefully studied
 - □ This is a constant component of prevention interventions, but no evidence of effect of such component has been demonstrated

RUNNING HEAD: Mediating factors of a school-based intervention

<u>Title</u>: Short- term mediating factors of a school-based intervention to prevent youth substance use in Europe

Authors:

Giannotta F¹, Vigna-Taglianti FD^{2,3}, Galanti MR^{4,5}, Scatigna M⁶, Faggiano F^{3,7}, and the EU-Dap Study Group^a.

- The paper has been accepted for publication in Journal of Adolescent Health
- <u>www.eudap.net</u>

Thanks for your attention!